## **United States Court of Appeals**For the First Circuit

No. 02-1935 02-1936

UNITED STATES,

Appellee,

V.

MAXIMILIANO AMPARO-CONCEPCIÓN,

Defendant, Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

[Hon. Daniel R. Dominguez, <u>U.S. District Judge</u>]

Before

Lynch, <u>Circuit Judge</u>, Siler, <u>Circuit Judge</u>,\* and Lipez, <u>Circuit Judge</u>.

Maximiliano Amparo-Concepción on brief pro se.

Thomas F. Klumper, Assistant United States Attorney, <u>H.S.</u>
<u>Garcia</u>, United States Attorney, and <u>Sonia I. Torres</u>, Assistant United States Attorney, on brief for appellee.

October 28, 2003

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, sitting by designation.

<u>Per Curiam</u>. For the reasons stated in <u>United States</u> v.

<u>Rosa-Ortiz</u>, No. 02-2362, appellant's guilty plea in No. 02-1935 is

<u>vacated</u> and the case is <u>remanded</u> for dismissal of the indictment.

Appellant's conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 stands. Because we vacate his conviction on the conspiracy charge, however, appellant's total offense level must be recalculated and a new sentence imposed. Accordingly, appellant's sentence in No. 02-1936 is <u>vacated</u> and the case is <u>remanded</u> to the district court for resentencing.

So ordered.

Dissenting opinion follows.

SILER, <u>Circuit Judge</u> (dissenting). For the reasons stated in my opinion in the companion case to this appeal, <u>United</u>

<u>States</u> v. <u>Rosa-Ortiz</u>, No. 02-2362, I respectfully dissent.